APPENDIX 11-1

CARBON CALCULATIONS

Scottish Government’s Carbon Calculator - Macauley Institute Model

Payback Time

Payback Time
Payback Time - ChartsInput Data

1. Windfarm CO2 emission saving over...	Exp.	Min.	Max.
...coal-fired electricity generation (t CO2 / yr)	2,048	1,880	2,221
...grid-mix of electricity generation (t CO2 / yr)	395	363	429
...fossil fuel-mix of electricity generation (t CO2 / yr)	883	811	958
Energy output from windfarm over lifetime (MWh)	71,524	56,292	88,679

Total CO2 losses due to wind farm (tCO2 eq.)	Exp.	Min.	Max.
2. Losses due to turbine life (eg. manufacture, construction, decomissioning)	56,338	54,656	58,020
3. Losses due to backup	42,914	35,762	50,407
4. Lossess due to reduced carbon fixing potential	1,996	923	3,704
5. Losses from soil organic matter	7,846	3,790	27,142
6. Losses due to DOC & POC leaching	0	0	0
7. Losses due to felling forestry	47,200	39,309	55,461
Total losses of carbon dioxide	156,294	134,440	194,734

8. Total CO2 gains due to improvement of site (t CO2 eq.)	Exp.	Min.	Max.
8a. Change in emissions due to improvement of degraded bogs	0	0	0
8b. Change in emissions due to improvement of felled forestry	0	0	0
8c. Change in emissions due to restoration of peat from borrow pits	0	0	0
8d. Change in emissions due to removal of drainage from foundations & hardstanding	0	0	0
Total change in emissions due to improvements	0	0	0

RESULTS	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Net emissions of carbon dioxide (t CO2 eq.)	156,294	134,440	194,734
Carbon Payback Time			
...coal-fired electricity generation (years)	76.3	60.5	103.6
...grid-mix of electricity generation (years)	395.5	313.6	536.7
...fossil fuel-mix of electricity generation (years)	177.0	140.4	240.2
Ratio of soil carbon loss to gain by restoration (not used in Scottish applications)	No gains!	No gains!	No gains!
Ratio of CO2 eq. emissions to power generation (g/kWh) (for info. only)	2185.21	1516.03	3459.37

Payback Time - Charts

Payback Time
Payback Time - ChartsInput Data

Carbon payback time (months) using fossil-fuel mix as conterfactual



Greenhouse gas emissions (t CO2 eq.)



Proportions of greenhouse gas emissions from different sources



View

Print this page

Carbon Calculator v1.8.1

Knockshanvo Wind Farm Location: 52.777444 -8.636696

FuturEnergy

Core input data

Input data	Expected value	Minimum value	Maximum value	Source of data
Windfarm characteristics				
Dimensions				
No. of turbines	9	9	9	Ch 4 Description
Duration of consent (years)	35	30	40	Ch 4 Description
Performance				
Power rating of 1 turbine (MW)	7.2	7	7.4	Ch 4 Description
Capacity factor	0.36	0.34	0.38	Enduring Connection Policy 2.2 Constraints Report for Solar and Wind Area D
Backup				
Fraction of output to backup (%)	5	5	5	SNH Carbon Calculator Guidance
Additional emissions due to reduced thermal efficiency of the reserve generation (%)	10	10	10	Fixed
Total CO2 emission from turbine life (tCO_2 MW^{-1}) (eg. manufacture, construction, decommissioning)	Calculate wrt installed capacity	Calculate wrt installed capacity	Calculate wrt installed capacity	
Characteristics of peatland before windfarm development				
Type of peatland	Acid bog	Acid bog	Acid bog	Default
Average annual air temperature at site (°C)	10.7	6.1	15	Ch 11 Climate
Average depth of peat at site (m)	0.8	0.7	0.9	Ch 4 Description
C Content of dry peat (% by weight)	55	50	60	Default Value Used
Average extent of drainage around drainage features at site (m)	15	10	20	Default Value Used
Average water table depth at site (m)	0.5	0.1	1	Default Value Used
Dry soil bulk density (g cm^{-3})	0.1	0.09	0.11	Default Value Used
Characteristics of bog plants				
Time required for regeneration of bog plants after restoration (years)	10	5	15	Best Practice in Raised Bog Restoration in Ireland
Carbon accumulation due to C fixation by bog plants in undrained peats (tC ha^{-1} yr^{-1})	0.25	0.2	0.3	SNH Guidance Default Value
Forestry Plantation Characteristics				

5. Loss of soil CO2 (a, b)

Emissions due to loss of soil organic carbon
Loss of C stored in peatland is estimated from % site lost by peat removal (table 5a), CO2 loss from removed peat (table 5b), % site affected by drainage (table 5c), and the CO2 loss from drained peat (table 5d).

5. Loss of soil C02

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
CO2 loss from removed peat (t CO2 equiv.)	7845.82	3790.22	15069.31
CO2 loss from drained peat (t CO2 equiv.)	0	0	12072.82
RESULTS			
Total CO2 loss from peat (removed + drained) (t CO2 equiv.)	7845.82	3790.22	27142.13
Additional CO2 payback time of windfarm due to loss of soil C...			
...coal-fired electricity generation (months)	45.98	24.19	146.62
...grid-mix of electricity generation (months)	238.25	125.35	759.72
...fossil fuel - mix of electricity generation (months)	106.65	56.11	340.08

CO_2 loss from removed peats
If peat is treated in such a way that it is permanently restored, so that less than 100% of the C is lost to the atmosphere, a lower percentage can be entered in cell C10.

5b. CO2 loss from removed peat

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
CO2 loss from removed peat (t CO2)	18690.89	13648.17	24897.70
CO2 loss from undrained peat left in situ (t CO2)	10845.08	9857.95	9828.39
RESULTS			
CO2 loss atributable to peat removal only (t CO2)	7845.82	3790.22	15069.31

Volume of Peat Removed
% site lost by peat removal is estimated from peat removed in borrow pits, turbine foundations, hard-standing and access tracks. If peat is removed for any other reason, this must be added in as additional peat excavated in the core input data entry.

5a. Volume of peat removed

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Peat removed from borrow pits			
Area of land lost in borrow pits (m2)	34760	33930	35600
Volume of peat removed from borrow pits (m3)	27808	23751	32040
Peat removed from turbine foundations			
Area of land lost in foundation (m2)	6561	6561	6561
Volume of peat removed from foundation area (m3)	2690.01	2624.4	2755.62
Peat removed from hard-standing			
Area of land lost in hard-standing (m2)	17325	17325	17325
Volume of peat removed from hard-standing area (m3)	7103.25	6930	7276.5
Peat removed from access tracks			
Area of land lost in floating roads (m2)	0	0	0
Volume of peat removed from floating roads (m3)	0	0	0
Area of land lost in excavated roads (m2)	54600	54300	54900
Volume of peat removed from excavated roads (m3)	43680	38010	49410
Area of land lost in rock-filled roads (m2)	0	0	0
Volume of peat removed from rock-filled roads (m3)	0	0	0
Total area of land lost in access tracks (m2)	54600	54300	54900
Total volume of peat removed due to access tracks (m3)	43680	38010	49410
RESULTS			
Total area of land lost due to windfarm construction (m2)	127376	126246	128516
Total volume of peat removed due to windfarm construction (m3)	92681.26	82715.4	102882.12

5. Loss of soil CO2 (c,d,e)

Payback Time
Payback Time - ChartsInput Data

Volume of peat drained
Extent of site affected by drainage is calculated assuming an average extent of drainage around each drainage feature as given in the input data.

CO_2 loss due to drainage
Note, CO2 losses are calculated using two approaches: IPCC default methodology and more site specific equations derived for this project. The IPCC methodology is included because it is the established approach, although it contains no site detail. The new equations have been derived directly from experimental data for acid bogs and fens (see Nayak et al, 2008 - Final report).

5c. Volume of peat drained

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Total area affected by drainage around borrow pits (m2)	29550	18500	41800
Total volume affected by drainage around borrow pits (m3)	11820	6475	18810
Peat affected by drainage around turbine foundation and hardstanding			
Total area affected by drainage of foundation and hardstanding area (m2)	46980	29520	66240
Total volume affected by drainage of foundation and hardstanding area (m3)	9630.9	5904	13910.4
Peat affected by drainage of access tracks			
Total area affected by drainage of access track(m2)	273000	181000	366000
Total volume affected by drainage of access track(m3)	109200	63350	164700
Peat affected by drainage of cable trenches			
Total area affected by drainage of cable trenches(m2)	0	0	0
Total volume affected by drainage of cable trneches(m3)	0	0	0
Drainage around additional peat excavated			
Total area affected by drainage (m2)	7027.59	4527.98	9684.28
Total volume affected by drainage (m3)	5669.82	3653.15	7813.22
RESULTS			
Total area affected by drainage due to windfarm (m2)	356557.59	233547.98	483724.28
Total volume affected by drainage due to windfarm (m3)	136320.72	79382.15	205233.62

5d. CO2 loss from drained peat

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Calculations of C Loss from Drained Land if Site is NOT Restored after Decomissioning			
Total GHG emissions from Drained Land (t CO2 equiv.)	27491.59	13098.17	49666.99
Total GHG emissions from Undrained Land (t CO2 equiv.)	27491.59	13098.17	37594.17
Calculations of C Loss from Drained Land if Site IS Restored after Decomissioning			
Losses if Land is Drained			
CH4 emissions from drained land (t CO2 equiv.)	105.1	-379.55	1544.21
CO2 emissions from drained land (t CO2)	30253.01	18616.19	47328.97
Total GHG emissions from Drained Land (t CO2 equiv.)	27491.59	13098.17	49666.99
Losses if Land is Undrained			
CH4 emissions from undrained land (t CO2 equiv.)	105.1	-379.55	7229.99
CO2 emissions from undrained land (t CO2)	30253.01	18616.19	29763.33
Total GHG emissions from Undrained Land (t CO2 equiv.)	27491.59	13098.17	37594.17
RESULTS			
Total GHG emissions due to drainage (t CO2 equiv.)	0	0	12072.82

Emission rates from soils
Note, CO2 losses are calculated using two approaches: IPCC default methodology and more site specific equations derived for this project. The IPCC methodology is included because it is the established approach, although it contains no site detail. The new equations have been thoroughly tested against experimental data (see Nayak et al, 2008 - Final report).

5e. Emission rates from soils

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Calculations following IPCC default methodology			
Flooded period (days/year)	178	178	178
Annual rate of methane emission (t CH4-C/ha year)	0.04	0.04	0.04
Annual rate of carbon dioxide emission (t CO2/ha year)	35.2	35.2	35.2

7. Forestry CO2 loss

Payback Time
Payback Time - ChartsInput Data

CO_2 loss from forests - calculation using detailed management information
Forest carbon calculator (Perks et al, 2009)

Total potential carbon squestration loss due to felling of forestry for the wind farm (t CO2)	
Total emissions due to cleared land (t CO2)	
Emissions due to harvesting operations (t CO2)	
Fossil fuel equivalent saving from use of felled forestry as biofuel (t CO2)	
Fossil fuel equivalent saving from use of replanted forestry as biofuel (t CO2)	
RESULTS	
Total carbon loss associated with forest management(t CO2)	

Emissions due to forest felling - calculation using simple management data
Emissions due to forestry felling are calculated from the reduced carbon sequestered per crop rotation. If the forestry was due to be removed before the planned development, this C loss is not attributable to the wind farm and so the area of forestry to be felled should be entered as zero.

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Area of forestry plantation to be felled (ha)	102.16	102.1	102.2
Carbon sequestered (t C ha-1 yr-1)	3.6	3.5	3.7
Lifetime of windfarm (years)	35	30	40
Carbon sequestered over the lifetime of the windfarm (t C ha-1)	126	105	148
RESULTS			
Total carbon loss due to felling of forestry (t CO2)	47199.74	39308.86	55461.04
Additional CO2 payback time of windfarm due to management of forestry			
...coal-fired electricity generation (months)	276.61	250.89	299.6
...grid-mix of electricity generation (months)	1433.27	1299.98	1552.37
...fossil fuel - mix of electricity generation (months)	641.59	581.92	694.9

8. CO2 gain - site improvement

Payback Time
Payback Time - ChartsInput Data

Gains due to site improvement
Note, CO2 losses are calculated using two approaches: IPCC default methodology and more site specific equations derived for this project. The IPCC methodology is included because it is the established approach, although it contains no site detail. The new equations have been thoroughly tested against experimental data (see Nayak et al, 2008 - Final report).

Degraded Bog

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
1. Description of site			
Area to be improved (ha)	0	0	0
Depth of peat above water table before improvement (m)	0	0	0
Depth of peat above water table after improvement (m)	0	0	0
2. Losses with improvement			
Improved period (years)	0	0	0
Selected annual rate of methane emissions (t CH4-C ha-1 yr-1)	0.501	0.485	0.516
CH4 emissions from improved land (t CO2 equiv.)	0	0	0
Selected annual rate of carbone dioxide emissions (t CO2 ha-1 yr-1)	0.721	-0.503	1.865
CO2 emissions from improved land (t CO2 equiv.)	0	0	0
Total GHG emissions from improved land (t CO2 eqiv.)	0	0	0
3. Losses without improvement			
Improved period (years)	0	0	0

Felled Forestry

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
1. Description of site			
Area to be improved (ha)	0	0	0
Depth of peat above water table before improvement (m)	0	0	0
Depth of peat above water table after improvement (m)	0	0	0
2. Losses with improvement			
Improved period (years)	0	0	0
Selected annual rate of methane emissions (t CH4-C ha-1 yr-1)	0.501	0.485	0.516
CH4 emissions from improved land (t CO2 equiv.)	0	0	0
Selected annual rate of carbone dioxide emissions (t CO2 ha-1 yr-1)	0.721	-0.503	1.865
CO2 emissions from improved land (t CO2 equiv.)	0	0	0
Total GHG emissions from improved land (t CO2 eqiv.)	0	0	0
3. Losses without improvement			
Improved period (years)	0	0	0

Borrow Pits

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
1. Description of site			
Area to be improved (ha)	0	0	0
Depth of peat above water table before improvement (m)	0	0	0
Depth of peat above water table after improvement (m)	0	0	0
2. Losses with improvement			
Improved period (years)	0	0	0
Selected annual rate of methane emissions (t CH4-C ha-1 yr-1)	0.501	0.485	0.516
CH4 emissions from improved land (t CO2 equiv.)	0	0	0
Selected annual rate of carbone dioxide emissions (t CO2 ha-1 yr-1)	0.721	-0.503	1.865
CO2 emissions from improved land (t CO2 equiv.)	0	0	0
Total GHG emissions from improved land (t CO2 eqiv.)	0	0	0
3. Losses without improvement			
Improved period (years)	0	0	0

Foundations & Hardstanding

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
1. Description of site			
Area to be improved (ha)	0	0	0
Depth of peat above water table before improvement (m)	0	0	0
Depth of peat above water table after improvement (m)	0	0	0
2. Losses with improvement			
Improved period (years)	35	30	40
Selected annual rate of methane emissions (t CH4-C ha-1 yr-1)	0.501	0.485	0.516
CH4 emissions from improved land (t CO2 equiv.)	0	0	0
Selected annual rate of carbone dioxide emissions (t CO2 ha-1 yr-1)	0.721	-0.503	1.865
CO2 emissions from improved land (t CO2 equiv.)	0	0	0
Total GHG emissions from improved land (t CO2 eqiv.)	0	0	0
3. Losses without improvement			
Improved period (years)	35	30	40

3. CO2 loss backup

Emissions due to backup power generation
CO_2 loss due to back up is calculated from the extra capacity required for backup of the windfarm given in the input data.

Wind generated electricity is inherently variable, providing unique challenges to the electricity generating industry for provision of a supply to meet consumer demand (Netz, 2004). Backup power is required to accompany wind generation to stabilise the supply to the consumer. This backup power will usually be obtained from a fossil fuel source. At a high level of wind power penetration in the overall generating mix, and with current grid management techniques, the capacity for fossil fuel backup may become strained because it is being used to balance the fluctuating consumer demand with a variable and highly unpredictable output from wind turbines (White, 2007). The Carbon Trust (Carbon Trust/DTI, 2004) concluded that increasing levels of intermittent generation do not present major technical issues at the percentages of renewables expected by 2010 and 2020, but the UK renewables target at the time of that report was only 20%. When national reliance on wind power is low (less than ~20%), the additional fossil fuel generated power requirement can be considered to be insignificant and may be obtained from within the spare generating capacity of other power sectors (Dale et al, 2004). However, as the national supply from wind power increases above 20%, without improvements in grid management techniques, emissions due to backup power generation may become more significant. The extra capacity needed for backup power generation is currently estimated to be 5% of the rated capacity of the wind plant if wind power contributes more than 20% to the national grid (Dale et al 2004). Moving towards the SG target of 50% electricity generation from renewable sources, more short-term capacity may be required in terms of pumped-storage hydro-generated power, or a better mix of offshore and onshore wind generating capacity. Grid management techniques are anticipated to reduce this extra capacity, with improved demand side management, smart meters, grid reinforcement and other developments. However, given current grid management techniques, it is suggested that 5% extra capacity should be assumed for backup power generation if wind power contributes more than 20% to the national grid. At lower contributions, the extra capacity required for backup should be assumed to be zero. These assumptions should be revisited as technology improves.

Assumption: Backup assumed to be by fossil-fuel-mix of electricity generation. Note that hydroelectricity may also be used for backup, so this assumption may make the value for backup generation too high. These assumptions should be revisited as technology develops.

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Reserve energy (MWh/yr)	28,382	27,594	29,171
Annual emissions due to backup from fossil fuel-mix of electricity generation (tCO2/yr)	1,226	1,192	1,260
RESULTS			
Total emissions due to backup from fossil fuel-mix of electricity generation (tCO2)	42,914	35,762	50,407

1. CO_2 emission saving

Payback Time
Payback Time - ChartsInput Data

Emissions due to turbine life
The carbon payback time of the windfarm due to turbine life (eg. manufacture, construction, decomissioning) is calculated by comparing the emissions due to turbine life with carbon-savings achieved by the windfarm while displacing electricity generated from coal-fired capacity or grid-mix.

Capacity factor calculated from forestry data

Area name	Value type	Capacity factor (%)	Wind speed ratio	Average site windspeed (m/s)	Annual theoretical energy output (MW / turbine yr)

Capacity factor - Direct input

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Capacity factor (%)	0.4	0.3	0.4

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Annual energy output from windfarm (MW/yr)			
RESULTS			
Emissions saving over coal-fired electricity generatio…	2,048	1,880	2,221
Emissions saving over grid-mix of electricity generati…	395	363	429
Emissions saving over fossil fuel - mix of electricity g…	883	811	958

2. CO2 loss turbine life

Payback Time
Payback Time - ChartsInput Data

Emissions due to turbine life
The carbon payback time of the windfarm due to turbine life (eg. manufacture, construction, decomissioning) is calculated by comparing the emissions due to turbine life with carbon-savings achieved by the windfarm while displacing electricity generated from coal-fired capacity or grid-mix.

Calculation of emissions with relation to installed capacity

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Emissions due to turbine frome energy output (t CO2)	6260	6073	6447
Emissions due to cement used in construction (t CO2)	0	0	0

Direct input of emissions due to turbine life

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Emissions due to turbine life (tCO2/windfarm)			

RESULTS

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Losses due to turbine life (manufacture, construction, etc.) (t CO2)	56338	54656	58020
Additional CO2 payback time of windfarm due to turbine life			
...coal-fired electricity generation (months)	330	349	313
...grid-mix of electricity generation (months)	1711	1808	1624
...fossil fuel - mix of electricity generation (months)	766	809	727

4. Loss CO2 fixing pot.

Payback Time
Payback Time - ChartsInput Data

Emissions due to loss of bog plants
Annual C fixation by the site is calculated by multiplying area of the windfarm by the annual C accumulation due to bog plant fixation.

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Area where carbon accumulation by bog plants is lost (ha)	48.39	35.98	61.22
Total loss of carbon accumulation up to time of restoration (tCO2 eq./ha)	41	26	61
RESULTS			
Total loss of carbon fixation by plants at the site (t CO2)	1996	923	3704
Additional CO2 payback time of windfarm due to loss of CO2 fixing potential			
...coal-fired electricity generation (months)	12	6	20
...grid-mix of electricity generation (months)	61	31	104
...fossil fuel - mix of electricity generation (months)	27	14	46

6. CO2 loss DOC & POC

Payback Time
Payback Time - ChartsInput Data

Emissions due to loss of DOC and POC
Note, CO2 losses from DOC and POC are calculated using a simple approach derived from generic estimates of the percentage of the total CO2 loss that is due to DOC or POC leaching.

No POC losses for bare soil included yet. If extensive areas of bare soil is present at site need modified calculation (Birnie et al. 1991)

	Exp.	Min.	Max.
Gross CO2 loss from restored drained land (t CO2)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gross CH4 loss from restored drained land (t CO2 equiv.)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gross CO2 loss from improved land (t CO2)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gross CH4 loss from improved land (t CO2 equiv.)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total gaseous loss of C (t C)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total C loss as DOC (t C)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total C loss as POC (t C)	0.00	0.00	0.00
RESULTS			
Total CO2 loss due to DOC leaching (t CO2)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total CO2 loss due to POC leaching (t CO2)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total CO2 loss due to DOC & POC leaching (t CO2)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Additional CO2 payback time of windfarm due to DOC & POC			
...coal-fired electricity generation (months)	0	0	0
...grid-mix of electricity generation (months)	0	0	0
...fossil fuel - mix of electricity generation (months)	0	0	0

TII Carbon Assessment Tool

Ch 15: Material Assets, Section 15.1.4.2, Table 15-6					Distance Assumptions	TII Embodied Carbon Tool Inputs						TII Transport Inputs		
Material	Total no. Truck Loads	Truck Type	TII Embodied Carbon	TII Traffic	Distance (km)	Category	Sub-Category	Material	Quantity	Unit	Embodied tCO2e	Transport Type	Distance (km)	Transport TCO2e
Concrete	675	Truck	✓	✓	27.52	Series 1700 - Structural Concrete	Concrete - Construction General	Concrete Average	5130	m3	1261.98	HGV - Rigid - All	18576	18.54
Delivery of Plant	35	Large Artic		✓	27.52							HGV-All-Average	963.2	1.03
Fencing and Gates	3	Large Artic		✓	27.52							HGV-All-Average	82.56	0.09
Compound Setup	36	Large Artic		✓	27.52							HGV-All-Average	990.72	1.06
Steel	25	Large Artic	✓	✓	72.6	Series 1800-Structural Steel Work	General	Anchorages and holding down bolt assemblies	750	tonnes	1344.83	HGV-All-Average	1813.75	1.95
Ducting and Cabling (Internal)	264	Large Artic		✓	27.52							HGV-All-Average	7265.28	7.8
Grid Connection Cable Laying	1000	Large Artic		✓	27.52							HGV-All-Average	27520	30.6
Tree Felling	1030	Truck		✓	27.52							HGV-All-Average	28345.6	30.41

Crane (to lift steel)	1	Large Artic		✓	72.6							HGV-All-Average	72.6	0.08
Road Construction	3,000	Truck	✓	✓	27.52	Series 800 - Road Pavements -Unbound and Cement Bound Mixtures	Aggregates	Unbound Mixture (type 1) depth 100-150mm	509703	m2	1013.3	LGV - Average	82560	24.7
Substation	100	Large Artic		✓	72.6							HGV-All-Average	7255.0	7.78
Crane for turbines	12	Large Artic		✓	72.6							HGV-All-Average	870.6	0.93
Refuelling for plant	186	Large Artic		✓	27.52							HGV-All-Average	5118.72	5.49
Site Maintenance	135	Large Artic		✓	27.52							HGV-All-Average	3715.2	3.99
Miscellaneous	90	Large Artic		✓	27.52							HGV-All-Average	2476.8	2.66
Total											**3620**			**137**

List of Assumptions

Embodied Carbon Assumptions			Traffic Assumptions		
Item	Description	Assumption	Item	Description	Assumption
Volume of Concrete Mixer	Calculation completed based on the average concrete mixer holding 7.6m3 of concrete	7.6	Import (P) Distance	For modelling purposes, the average distance from Shannon Fones Port, Limerick City and Galway Harbour, Galway City for transport of all turbine infrastructure to Site.	72.6
Volume of Average Artic Truck	Calculation completed based on the average artic truck having a carrying capacity of 30 tonnes	30	Quarry (Q) Distance	For modelling purposes, the average distance between Limerick, Ennis, Gort, Killaloe, and Sixmilebridge for the transport of all other materials to Site.	27.52
Ducting and cabling (internal)	Embodied carbon of electrical equipment not included as an option in TII Carbon Tool	-	Concrete Mixer Emission factor	Calculated from an HGV - Rigid - Average emission factor as provided in the TII Carbon Tool	0.99784
Grid connection cable laying	Embodied carbon of electrical equipment not included as an option in TII Carbon Tool	-	Large Artic Emission Factor	Calculated from an HGV - All - Average emission factor as provided in the TII Carbon Tool	1.07296
Tree Felling	Embodied carbon of tree felling is included in the Macauley Institute Carbon Calculator for Wind Farms on Peatland	-	Truck Emissions Factor	Calculated from an LGV - Average emission factor as provided in the TII Carbon Tool	0.29913
Turbine Lifecycle	Embodied carbon of the overall turbine lifecycle is included in the Macauley Institute Carbon Calculator for Wind Farms on Peatland	-			